40. (Once amended) The bag of Claim 29 wherein said textile composite comprising said bag has an average Kawabata stiffness value of at least about 1.0 gms (force) cm²/cm. 31 41. (Once amended) The bag of Claim 40 wherein said polymer facing has an average Kawabata surface friction value of less than about 0.3. 32 42. (Once amended) The bag of Claim 29 wherein said textile composite comprising said bag has an average Kawabata stiffness value of at least about 1.2 gms (force) cm²/cm. 43. (Once amended) The bag of Claim 42 wherein said polymer facing has an average Kawabata surface friction value of less than about 0.3. 4. (Once amended) The bag of Claim 2 wherein said textile composite comprising said bag has an average Kawabata stiffness value of at least about 1.4 gms (force) cm²/cm. 35 45. (Once amended) The bag of Claim 44, wherein said polymer facing has an average Kawabata surface friction value of less than about 0.35. 46. (Once amended) The bag of Claim 44, wherein said polymer facing has an average

Remarks

Kawabata surface friction value of less than about 0.25.

Introduction

Claims 38 through 46 have been amended in response to the Examiner's rejection under 35 USC §112, as set forth below. Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejections under 35 USC §102(b) and 35 USC §103, for the reasons set forth below.

Formal Matters

The Examiner has rejected Claims 38-46 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. The Examiner comments that those claims recite "said fabric composite" and "said polymer coated interior" which lack a proper positive antecedent basis from earlier recited claims. Applicants have amended Claims 38-46 to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.